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Abstract

The article deals with the concept of mentorship which may be enhanced with the idea of reverse mentoring, directed towards the self-authorship process of a beginning teacher. The research findings demonstrate that the mentees in reverse mentoring process have learned to confront their own prejudice about mentor experience priority and challenge senior persons’ attitudes, have become open in real learning environment, developed a dialogue with their mentor and got more self-reliant in their pedagogical solutions.
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Introduction

A mentor is usually an experienced specialist with clearly expressed professional competencies, values, attitudes such as desire to help, having confidence in oneself and other people (Andziuliene et al., 2002). A mentor will always think about transferring information, competence, and experience to beginning teachers – the mentees, so that they can build their confidence as professionals. A mentor is supposed to be able to encourage, nurture, and provide support, because he/she has already ‘walked the path’ of the mentee (Little, 1990). This is a traditional understanding of mentoring which, while relying on structural characteristics and the content exchanged in the relationship, is distinguished from peer mentoring (Kram and Isabella, 1985), coaching (Hall, Otazo and Hollenbeck, 1999), developers (i.e., relationships in one’s developmental network (Higgins and Kram, 2001), alternative mentoring (Allen and Finkelstein, 2003), and peer coaching (Parker, Hall and Kram, 2008).

It is suggested (Hobson et al., 2009) that mentoring is an important and effective form of emotional and psychological support of the professional development of beginning teachers (Zanting et al., 1998; Carter and Francis, 2001; Zanting et al., 2001; Bullough, 2005; Johnson et al., 2005; Lindgren, 2005; Moor et al., 2005; Marable and Raimondi, 2007; Malderez et al., 2007) which plays an important role in the socialization of beginning teachers (Bullough and Draper, 2004; Wang et al., 2008).

A positive attitude towards mentoring starts to be questioned when scientists claim the failure of school-based mentoring (Smith and Ingersoll, 2004; Ling, 2009; Hobson and Malderez, 2013), stating that mentoring does not always bring positive outcomes, and can actually stunt beginning teachers’ professional learning and growth. The failure of school-based mentoring impedes mentee’s development of informed reflective practice or ‘learnancy’ (Claxton, 2004), creates ‘learned helplessness’ (Maier and Seligman, 1976), and negatively impacts mentee’s emotional well-being with the emerging practice of judgementoring (Hobson and Malderez, 2013). They highlight the urge for the search of new mentoring ways because in the context of contemporary education paradigm, based on learner-centric approach, the traditional mentor and mentee relationship limits the possibilities to form mentees’ self-directed learning competencies (Strazdiene, 2009).

In the context of a prevailing variety of mentoring skills (Clutterbuck, 2011) it would be reasonable to speak not only about mentor competence and the relations with a mentee, but also to analyze a relevantly new aspect of mentoring – reverse mentoring (Cotugna and Vickery, 1998; Leh, 2005; Clutterbuck, 2011), directed towards the self-authorship process (Kegan, 1994, 2005; Baxter Magolda, 1998, 2004, 2009, 2010) of a mentee the key aspect of which is the value of his/her own personal growth too.

Reverse mentoring has clear practical application in the management and business field. In this context, reverse mentoring is precisely defined as the pairing of a younger, junior employee acting as mentor to share expertise with an older, senior colleague as the mentee (Cotugna and Vickery, 1998; Leh, 2005; Meister and Willyerd, 2010; Murphy Marcinkus, 2012).

One of most relevant attempts to conceptualize reverse mentoring is Marcinkus Murphy (2012) presented reverse mentoring characteristics and model which is created while relying on investigations of mentoring, social exchange theory, high-quality connections, and work-based learning.

The evolvement of reverse mentoring pattern was stimulated by ICT mentoring. Jamissen and Phelps (2006) noted that the traditional age related mentoring roles were likely to be reversed in ICT with younger or junior technology knowledgeable persons becoming ICT mentors for senior professionals.
Alongside with reverse mentoring concept, specific for the management and business field, educational studies define reverse mentoring as a boomerang effect. The mentor gives advice to the mentee; the mentee expresses a thought, idea, or concept and gives the mentor a new insight. Thus, mentoring is a reverse, two-way learning process (Dickinson, Jankot and Gracon, 2009). In such a way reverse mentoring in educational studies has wider understanding than ‘Younger to Older’ scheme and compliments the majority of the reverse mentoring research related to the developmental relationships (Olian, Carroll and Giamantoni, 1993; Higgins and Kram, 2001; Ragins and Verbos, 2007) and developmental networks (Murphy and Kram, 2010; Marcinkus Murphy, 2012). Such a developmental perspective via critical dimensions of mutuality (Ragins and Verbos, 2007; Roberts, 2007) implies an idea of reverse mentoring as a facilitating factor for the self-authorship development of a beginning teacher.

As it has already been mentioned, the beginning teachers are confronted with many issues as they begin their teaching careers, such as classroom management, individual differences, behaviour problems, dealing with parents (Mitchell, Reilly and Kidder, 2000; Mitchell and Siemers, 2000) and mentoring (Hobson and Malderez, 2013). According to Baxter Magolda (2004), the process of becoming self-authored involves intense self-reflection so that persons are able to articulate ‘how I know’ rather than ‘how everyone else knows’. When the internal voice overtakes external influences, persons are moving toward self-authorship which is a part of becoming a professional (Hodge, Baxter Magolda and Haynes, 2009).

Alongside with a clearly stated lack of the research in reverse mentoring field (Greengard, 2002; Epstein, 2011; Hall and Jaugietis, 2011; Monkevičiene and Autukevičienė, 2011; Peciuliūtė-Aukštuolytė, 2011; Marcinkus Murphy, 2012) there is no investigations made concerning reverse mentoring as facilitating factor for development of beginning teachers self-authorship. The above mentioned aspects presuppose the research question: how can reverse mentoring facilitate the self-authorship process of a beginning teacher while developing as a professional?

Thus, this paper aims at identifying reverse mentoring aspects which move the beginning teacher – the mentee towards self-authorship process while becoming a professional.

The research methods include analysis of scientific literature and content analysis of research participants’ reflections.

The article consists of three parts. In the first part, while performing scientific literature analysis, there is highlighted the interrelating aspects of mentoring, reverse mentoring and self-authorship concepts. The second and third parts present research design and the findings which reveal mentoring aspects that facilitate the self-authorship process of a beginning teacher-mentee while becoming a professional teacher.

Interrelation aspects of mentoring reverse mentoring and self-authorship concepts

The concept of mentoring can be analyzed from multiple perspectives (Bozeman and Feeney, 2007), but according to Fletcher (2012), Hobson and Malderez (2013) there still is a lack of consensus on what mentoring in teacher education is, what it can or should seek to achieve, and how it differs from mentoring in other contexts. Fletcher (2012, p. 68) points out that ‘it is important to distinguish between mentoring in education and mentoring in other contexts’.

The most common definition of mentoring implies the interpersonal exchange between the experienced specialist (mentor) and a junior colleague (protégé) ‘in which the mentor provides the protégé with career functions related to career advancement and psychosocial functions related to personal development’ (Kram, 1988, cit. Hu, Thomas and Lance, 2008, p. 727).

From point of view of psychology, the concept of mentoring reflects a basic principle that humans learn skills, values, and culture directly from other humans whom they respect and admire. People tend to emulate or model the behaviour they see in others, especially if that behaviour is rewarded (Bandura, 1986). Mentoring also reflects motivation: that people seek relationships that would help to engage in competence-seeking behaviour.

In career development field mentoring has been defined as a situation in which a mentor ‘helps a protégé or mentee become more professionally competent’ (Cotugna and Vickery, 1998, p. 1166).

From the point of view of work-based learning, mentoring can involve a relationship between senior and subordinate workers. It can also involve relationships between peers, friends, or members of professional groups (Chandler, 2010) while learning specific skills and knowledge, along with the ability to put new learning in an appropriate workplace context (Clark, 1992). Mentoring can educate employees about critical ethical issues (Rhodes, Liang and Spencer, 2009). Mentoring can also help to reduce workplace role ambiguity (Viator, 2001) and reduce employee turnover (Hall and Smith, 2009). In teacher education, according to Jamissen and Phelps (2006), mentoring has played an important part in the development of beginning teachers or teachers who want to develop their career advancement.

It is obvious that all these interpretations of the mentoring concept remain stable in their connotation, the roots of which lay in Greek mythology: Mentor was Odysseus’s trusted counselor who also guided and taught Telemachus. Thus, a mentor is an experienced specialist who professionally helps beginners and practicing colleagues to develop. A mentor must have a necessary expertise and competence in order to help a young person to seek perfection in his/her profession, acquire practical competence in his/her job (Monkevičienė and Autukevičienė, 2011). The concept space of mentoring is even more enhanced if we consider mentoring related phenomena, such as coaching, ‘facilitated reflective practice’ (Cox, 2013, p. 2) as a part of mentoring connotation (Hobson and Malderez, 2013).
Reverse mentoring characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Different partner status</td>
<td>By definition, a reverse mentoring relationship is composed of a younger specialist in the role of mentor and an older, more experienced specialist in the role of mentee (Marcinkus Murphy, 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge sharing with the mentee</td>
<td>Knowledge sharing with the mentee focused on learning from the mentor’s technical or content expertise and generational perspective (Marcinkus Murphy, 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional and leadership skill development</td>
<td>Much of leadership development is personal development (Marcinkus Murphy, 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment to the shared goal of support and mutual learning</td>
<td>Generational differences should create a positive connection for mutual support and learning (Marcinkus Murphy, 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentee Outcomes (In education in a mentee position there may be a traditional mentor who demonstrates analogous results like in business characteristics of reverse mentoring)</td>
<td>The opportunity to learn the newest content knowledge or technical skills and gain exposure to the perspective of new organizational entrants. Development of leadership skills through an increased ability to understand and communicate across generations in the workplace. Fresh perspective on the network of relationships in which their job is embedded (Lankau and Scandura, 2002). A developed strong tie to their mentors, acquisition of the newest technologies and social networking media. Enhanced social capital (Kram, 1985; Marcinkus Murphy, 2012).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentor Outcomes (In education in a mentor position there may be a traditional mentor)</td>
<td>Young professionals gain organizational knowledge, work values, approaches and skills to communicate effectively. Young professionals develop personal learning in terms of improved interpersonal skills such as self-disclosure, active listening, empathy, and feedback (Kram, 1996; Lankau and Scandura, 2002), a greater understanding of the 'interdependence or connectedness of one’s job to others' (Lankau and Scandura, 2002, p. 780), expansion of mentors’ social capital in the organization (Hezlett and Gibson, 2007).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mentoring is considered (Hobson et al., 2009) to be an effective form of professional and psychological support of beginning teachers (Zanting et al., 1998, Zanting et al., 2001; Bullough, 2005; Johnson et al., 2005; Lindgren, 2005; Moor et al., 2005; Marable and Raimondi, 2007; Malderez et al., 2007), including reduced feelings of isolation, increased morale, confidence and self-esteem, professional growth and job satisfaction, and improved self-reflection and problem-solving, classroom and time management skills.

Nevertheless, as it was already mentioned, because of the emerging tendencies of judgementmentoring and other pathologies of mentoring (Hobson and Malderez, 2013) the traditional mentor and mentee relationship has little freedom for the development of mentees’ self-directed learning competencies (Strazdiene, 2009).

Reverse mentoring is a concept initially introduced by former General Electric CEO Jack Welch (Greengard, 2002) with intention to underline the value of reverse mentoring as a means of developing the knowledge and skills needed to stay current in the workplace (Brown, 2007; Perry, 2009). Reverse mentoring is characterized in the mentoring literature as an innovative way to encourage learning and facilitate cross-generational relationships. Reverse mentoring is regarded as an alternative form of mentoring, with unique characteristics and support...
functions exchanged. While implementing reverse mentoring in business and management the initial stress is laid on non traditional formation of mentoring pairs. Main responsibility is appointed to the younger specialist as he/she is in the role of mentor for his senior partner in the role of mentee.

In the content of Table 1 there are major reverse mentoring characteristics revealed with a separate comment of their interpretation in educational context.

A senior partner in a majority of cases is in a higher administrative position than his mentor. Such relationships are implemented because younger generation (mentors) have better knowledge about technology advance and its application, whereas senior experts have large experience about working place traditions, management, leadership patterns, etc. In spite of the changed mentor and mentee roles, the traditional mission of mentoring may be regarded as unchanged: no matter what position is occupied by the participants of mentoring process, the mentor (still being a younger specialist) is more experienced in a particular subject and is teaching the mentee (a senior specialist), who has less knowledge in the subject which is being mentored.

Reverse mentoring in education has not so strictly formulated roles about reverse mentor and mentee roles. Education emphasizes that effective reverse mentoring is based on the duality of roles and always is a two-way flow of learning which may be characterized as a boomerang effect. The mentor is giving advice to the mentee; the mentee expresses a thought, idea, or concept and gives the mentor a new insight which stimulates reflection and self-authorship process of both: mentor and mentee. Freire argues that every teacher is always a student and every student always a teacher. Freire explains that ‘the educator for liberation has to die as the unilateral educator of the educatees, in order to be born again as the educator-educatee of the educatees-educators. An educator is a person who has to live in the deep significance of Easter’ (Freire, 1987).

Thus, reverse mentoring in educational studies has more variations and is not so precisely orientated towards ‘Younger to Older’ scheme (Dickinson, Jankot and Gracon, 2009).

The above listed characteristics of reverse mentoring (Marcinkus Murphy, 2012): ‘Different partner status’, ‘Knowledge sharing with the mentee’, ‘Professional and leadership skill development of mentors’, ‘Commitment to the shared goal of support and mutual learning’, ‘Mentee outcomes’ and ‘Mentor outcomes’, demonstrate the potential possibilities of reverse mentoring for personal development.

Characteristic ‘Different partner status’ implies the possibility the younger participant to initiate learning ideas which are accepted by a senior participant. As it was mentioned before, in business, marketing, personal relations, the mentee’s status in organization is always higher than the mentor’s. In educational studies the focus is on the educational initiative coming from younger participant and accepted by a senior participant.

Characteristic ‘Knowledge sharing with the mentee’ is the main focus in a reverse mentoring relationship. According to Wanberg et al. (2003) there are the following types of knowledge exchanged in reverse mentoring process: declarative knowledge, procedural knowledge, strategic or tacit knowledge, knowledge organization, or cognitive strategies, skill-based learning, which improves technical or motor skills and affective based learning, which enables attitudinal or motivational changes.

Characteristic ‘Professional and leadership skill development of mentors’ reveal the possibility for young leaders to grow. In a reverse mentoring relationship, leadership development in the form of personal learning is particularly important for mentors (the younger specialists) because it can reduce role ambiguity and increase job satisfaction for both: mentor and mentee (Lankau and Scandura, 2002).

Characteristic ‘Commitment to the shared goal of support and mutual learning’ implies the unique dynamics of reverse mentoring relationship. Mentor and mentee are encouraged to share knowledge, positive meaning, and emotions in the creation of a positive connection (Spreitzer, 2006). Mutual support for learning is more likely to occur if participants develop a close mentoring bond – a dialogue (Ragins and Verbis, 2007; Augustiniene and Ciucciuliene, 2013), which highlights the commitment aspect (Dutton and Heaphy, 2003) leading reverse mentoring participants to a development of self-authorship (Kegan, 1994; Baxter Magolda, 1998).

Characteristic of ‘Mentor Outcomes’ reveals mentors benefits of participating in a reverse mentoring. For mentors, the primary benefit of participating in a reverse mentoring relationship is their own leadership development and the experience of managing a professional mentoring relationship. Through interactions young professionals gain organizational knowledge, such as insight into the leadership hierarchy of the organization and learning how to navigate and get work done in the organization, mentors understand differing work values and approaches and to communicate effectively.

Through the process of reverse mentoring, mentors should increase personal learning in terms of improved interpersonal skills such as self-disclosure, active listening, empathy, and feedback (Kram, 1996; Lankau and Scandura, 2002). In addition, increases in relational job learning are based on a greater understanding of the ‘interdependence or connectedness of one’s job to others’ (Lankau and Scandura, 2002, p. 780). Finally, reverse mentoring should expand mentors’ intraorganizational network thus increasing their social capital in the organization, which is the ability to gain access to the resources of others through social ties (Hezlett and Gibson, 2007).

While defining ‘Mentee outcomes’ (in this case a senior specialist) the primary benefit of participating in a reverse mentoring relationship is the opportunity to learn the newest content knowledge or technical skills and gain exposure to the perspective of new organizational entrants, ability to understand and communicate across generations in the workplace. A developed strong tie to their mentors...
stresses the growth of professional motivation and their personal commitment. For this reason it is once more possible to state that reverse mentoring outcomes develop premises to turn both participants to the self-authorship.

Expression of the professional motivation is also connected with the concept of self-authorship, which is central to professional and career decision making (Baxter Magolda, 2002). The concept of self-authorship, a constructivist developmental framework, was first developed by Kegan (1994), proceeded with Baxter Magolda (1998, 2004, 2008, 2009, 2010) and discussed by Ignelzi (2005), Laughlin and Creamer (2007), Pizzolato (2003, 2007) etc.

According to Kegan (1994, 2005) and Baxter Magolda (1998), self-authorship is the ability to reflect upon one’s beliefs, also organize one’s thoughts and feelings, and make up one’s own mind. Self-authorship is the internal coordination of meaning making on all three dimensions: one’s beliefs (epistemological), identity (intrapersonal), and social relations (interpersonal). Self-authorship is ‘simultaneously the ability to construct knowledge in a contextual world, an ability to construct an internal identity separate from external influences, and an ability to engage in relationships without losing ones’ identity’ (Baxter Magolda, 1999, p. 12). It is a complex phenomenon that involves three dimensions: cognitive or what people believe and how they make meaning and judgments, interpersonal or how people view the opinions of others in relationship to their own judgments, and intrapersonal or identity as an internal sense of self-occupations (Baxter Magolda, 2008).

Baxter Magolda (2004) recognizes four distinct phases of self-authorship: it begins with becoming the author of one’s own life and moving toward internal foundation, which does not occur until after age 30. The first phase, following external formulas, refers to following formulas from the external world and lacking the ability to develop one’s own voice. The second phase, crossroads, is instigated when there is dissatisfaction with following external formulas causing one to begin to consider one’s own needs and perspective. The third phase becoming the author of one’s own life results in deciding one’s perspective and identity and how to manage relationships with others. The fourth phase, internal formulas, is defined as the management of external influences rather than being controlled by them. One develops interdependent relationships that take into consideration external circumstances and others’ needs and also honours one’s own internal foundation. Persons who were able to bring their internal voices to the foreground to coordinate external influence became self-authoring (Baxter Magolda, 2008, 2009, 2010).

The previously analyzed reverse mentoring characteristics will serve as a theoretical framework for empirical research of reverse mentoring as facilitating factor for the self-authorship process of a beginning teacher.

Research methodology

Research design. Although there has been few studies of the use of reverse mentoring in public relations (e.g. Hays and Swanson, 2011; Marcinkus Murphy, 2012), no large-scale assessment of the extent to which reverse mentoring is applied throughout the teacher profession (Augustiniene and Ciuciulkiene, 2013) with a special focus on self-authorship process.

The process of becoming self-authored involves intense self-reflection so that persons are able to articulate ‘how I know’ rather than ‘how everyone else knows’. The latter questions imply the selection of qualitative-interpretative research perspective which will reveal participants’ subjective perception and experience (Rots and Aelterman, 2008; Dumenden, 2011) of the process when the beginning teachers’ internal voices overtake external influences (Baxter Magolda, 2001, p. 119). For this reason the beginning teachers were asked to write down their reflections as a free text answering the question what educational initiative of a mentee mostly effected mentor’s professional development.

The research had been focused on one member of the mentorship dyad – the mentee.

The sample was criterion/quota sampling. The cases were selected according the following criteria:

- the participants in this qualitative study should be the beginning teachers who have teaching experience at least 1 year;
- studying at full-time non degree teacher training program at the university;
- their educational practice training should be organized in the context of action research so that the mentees could demonstrate more professional self-reflection skills;
- the participants should be involved in teacher education mentoring process as a one to one relationship between a relatively inexperienced teacher (the mentee) and a relatively experienced one (the mentor) which aims to support the mentee’s learning and development as a teacher, and their integration into and acceptance by the cultures of the school and the profession (Clutterbuck, 2004);
- mentee respondents who were asked to write down their reflections had to mention reverse mentoring aspects.

Data were collected during tutoring and mentoring interactions between the student and his tutor/mentor (who is also the researcher/author), from November 2012 to January 2013. The principles of research ethics were followed: responsibility of the researcher to inform respondents about the purpose of the study, voluntarism of the respondents involving in the research, principle of anonymity and justice by not giving questions about personal life of the respondents.

The total sample was 62 beginning teachers-mentees. All 62 mentees studying in the program were asked to write down their reflections on their pedagogical practice experience as a free text with a special attention to the answering the question ‘What educational initiative of a
mentee mostly affected mentor’s professional development?’ There were presented 40 reflections. During first examination of the content of teachers-mentees reflections 24 reflections did not contain insights on reverse mentoring. The final amount, that was selected for final content analysis, was 16 reflections. The average age of the selected for further participation mentees is 31.9 years. Such age factor coincides with the idea of self-authorship phases (Baxter Magolda, 2004), which are based on the internal foundation that does not occur until after age 30. The teaching experience of mentees is 4.1 years. All participants were females.

Data analysis. Qualitative analysis of content was based on the systematic step performance: 1) identifying the manifest categories, while referring to the ‘characteristics of reverse mentoring (Table 1) underlining key’ words that denote the characteristic of reverse mentoring; 2) dividing the content of categories into subcategories; 3) identification of intersecting elements in the category/subcategory content; 4) interpreting the content data (Miles and Huberman, 1994).

The number of categories was guided by frequency of mention by participants.

Content area of the written reflections was reviewed by both researchers independently. One of the researchers reviewed all the applicable comments and grouped those that were similar in meaning. Once comments were grouped, the resulting categories were provided a name to capture the meaning reflected in the group of comments (e.g. IT literacy). Next, a second researcher was given the names of the identified categories and recategorized each of the comments into the appropriate categories. In cases where the two researchers disagreed, reasons for classification were discussed and the researchers came to an agreement regarding how most accurately to categorize the reflection item. In some cases a new category was created in order to group reflection comments accurately that had been previously misclassified. After the comments had been categorized, the total number of categories was narrowed by collapsing together categories with similar underlying themes. Since the researchers were interested in common experiences among mentees, categories represented by a single stand-alone comment, made by one participant were deleted from further analyses. In such a way the final list of categories was completed and agreement was between the researchers reached.

Research results

Mentees who participated in the research presented 395 propositions, the content of which deals with clearly expressed mentoring skills, that in the context of reverse mentoring move the mentee towards self-authorship process while becoming a professional teacher. There were distinguished 10 skills groups (Table 2).

From the qualitative data analysis and data ranking it is evident that the beginning teachers-mentees mostly experience reverse mentoring as such competences as the ‘Communication skills’, ‘IT literacy’ and ‘Self-evaluation skills’.

The tendency that ‘Communication skills’ is the most important for the respondents has become evident. This category was marked as the first rank. It consists of four subcategories. Participants noted ‘Innovative ideas’ in communication: ‘I liked my mentor’s disciplined way of lesson work. But I wanted our pupils to become disciplined and responsible too. So I suggested planning the lesson so, that the pupils could have a possibility to stand in our shoes and to communicate like teachers’, presentation of the information relevant for the pupils: ‘She [mentor] said that she would not risk talking about reality of youth subcultures, drug usage experience and similar things. But discussions must be realistic’, active learning: ‘... mentor liked my new idea about triangle discussion among me, my pupils and mentor...’, and argumentation competence: ‘...mentor liked my courage to present extraordinary arguments. She admitted that she would like to be as brave as me...’.

The second rank was given to the category ‘IT literacy’ (Table 2) which consists of two subcategories: ‘Teaching mentor to use IT’, ‘New technology implementation ways’. Participants reflected the unique dynamics of reverse mentoring relationship while mentees were encouraged to share knowledge (Spreitzer, 2006) as it is evident in subcategory ‘Teaching mentor to use IT’: ‘I teach my mentor how to use IT material for the English classes. She says that it is me who made her use computers in the classroom.’ The respondents point out sharing knowledge of ‘New technology implementation ways’: ‘I guess that I am useful when we want to use new technologies in the classroom such as virtual blackboards and mobile phones’. Leh (2005, p. 30) argued that reverse-mentoring has benefits in technology training and constructivist learning environments, that constructivist learning through reverse mentoring ‘... fits in well with characteristics of digital technology’.

The third rank was given to the category ‘Self-evaluation skills’ (Table 2) which combines two subcategories. The fourth rank was given to the category ‘Group work skills’, which consists of two subcategories. The subcategory ‘Positive attitudes towards group work’ shows mutual agreement development: ‘It really mattered that kids were enjoying the talking. Finally mentor also admitted that fact...’.

The subcategory ‘Group work management skills’ reflects mentors and mentees commitment to the shared goal of support: ‘Mentor agreed with me that it really works when we both participate in a group work as equal partners. It is a good example for the kids’.

The category ‘Reflection skills’ marked with fifth rank combines two subcategories ‘Formation of reflection understanding’ and ‘Reflection versus judgementmentoring’. Participants have mentioned ability to engage in relationships without losing one’s identity’ (Baxter Magolda, 1999, p. 12): ‘Me and my mentor taught each other what is really important in reflection: it is mutual understanding’. Some participants point out possibility to evaluate mentors work as support form: ‘Our traditional judge mentoring model changed into mutual reflection: I was encouraged to analyze mentor’s work’.
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The qualitative categories ‘Collaboration as a method’ and ‘Collaborative work as personality empowerment’ connected into category ‘Collaborative work skills’ reflect mentors and mentees awareness of their impact on each other, understanding one another’s intentions (Roberts, 2007) and commitment to the shared goal of support understood as collaborative learning activities: ‘My mentor always pays attention to my opinion when we plan active learning tasks. According my recommendations we implemented collaborative learning activities, distributed roles, appointed roles and activities’ and as personality empowerment: ‘My mentor said, that she learned from me some professional ideas, how to arrange teaching materials. I find that the most useful experience was when I reflected and evaluated collaborative learning experience. I talked about mentor’s experience too. My mentor valued my evaluation.’

The qualitative categories ‘Creative work skills’, ‘Self-authorship development’ and ‘Critical thinking’ were marked with eighth, ninth and tenth ranks. The category ‘Self-authorship development’ consists of three subcategories coherent to the phases of self-authorship (Baxter Magolda, 2004). The subcategory ‘Dissatisfaction with following external formulas’ reflects mentee’s crossroad moment, which is instigated when there is dissatisfaction with following external formulas causing one to begin to consider one’s own needs and perspective: ‘I stopped worrying about the noise during the group work and mentor’s remarks about lesson structure. It really mattered that kids were enjoying the talking.’ The next subcategory ‘Deciding one’s professional identity’ shows mentee becoming the author of one’s own life: ‘... that I am a real teacher…’, it is the third phase of self-authorship (Baxter Magolda, 2009) reached in reverse mentoring process. The subcategory ‘Management of external influences’ indicates that some participants have developed interdependent relationships that take into consideration external circumstances and others’ needs and also honours one’s own internal foundation: ‘Finally mentor also admitted that fact. I felt like an independent teacher…’. ‘I suggested letting use them as the sources of information. It was a success! Mentor called me ‘mentor’s teacher…’

The manifestation of categories and their ranking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Subcategory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Communication skills</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Information about innovative ideas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Presentation of the information relevant for the pupils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Active learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Argumentation competence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT literacy</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Teaching mentor to use IT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New technology implementation ways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-evaluation skills</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Self criticism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group work skills</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Objectiveness towards personal outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflection skills</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Formulation of reflection understanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperation skills</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Implementation of cooperative methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborative work skills</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Collaboration as a method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creative work skills</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Setting of creative tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introducing innovations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-authorship development</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Dissatisfaction with following external formulas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing external influences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical thinking</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Teaching argumentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Teaching to debate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 395
The category ‘Critical thinking’ demonstrates mentee’s epistemological maturity. Kegan (1994, p. 303) argued that self-authorship is the foundation for critical thinking, noting that it requires us to ‘take charge of the concepts and theories of a course or discipline, marshalling on behalf of our independently chosen topic its internal procedures for formulating and validating knowledge’.

Summing up, it is possible to state that the content of categories ‘Communication skills’, ‘IT literacy’ and ‘Self-evaluation skills’ which were marked with 1-3 ranks may be defined as revealing reverse mentoring characteristic ‘Knowledge sharing with the mentee’ (Marcinkus Murphy, 2012).

The qualitative categories ‘Group work skills’, ‘Reflection skills’, ‘Cooperation skills’, ‘Collaborative work skills’ were marked with 4-7 ranks; they represent the reverse mentoring characteristics ‘Commitment to the shared goal of support and mutual learning’ (Marcinkus Murphy, 2012).

Categories ‘Creative work skills’, ‘Self-authorship development’ and ‘Critical thinking’ were marked with 8-10 ranks, they can be associated with the reverse mentoring characteristic ‘Mentee results’ (Marcinkus Murphy, 2012).

While denoting the process of self-authorship these categories reveal mentees’ acquired ability to confront their own prejudice about mentor’s authority and challenge senior persons’ attitudes, learn to be honest and open in real learning environment, to develop a dialogue and became more self-reliant in their pedagogical solutions.

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to identify reverse mentoring aspects, which move the beginning teacher – the mentee towards self-authorship process while becoming a professional. The review of research literature highlighted the aspects of interrelating concepts of mentoring, reverse mentoring and self-authorship which being juxtaposed to judge mentoring, inspire the development of mentee’s skills, influencing the process of personal self-authorship. Reverse mentoring relationships were defined in the first part of paper by several characteristics, including ‘Different partner status’, ‘Knowledge sharing with the mentee’, ‘Professional and leadership skill development of mentors’, ‘Commitment to the shared goal of support and mutual learning’, ‘Mentee outcomes’ and ‘Mentor outcomes’, demonstrate the potential possibilities of reverse mentoring for personal development.

During the research data analysis there were distinguished 10 skills groups which could be considered as representing three characteristics of reverse mentoring. The largest amount of propositions that fall into the categories ‘Communication skills’, ‘IT literacy’ and ‘Self-evaluation skills’ with their subcategories may be defined as revealing reverse mentoring characteristic ‘Knowledge sharing with the mentee’. The qualitative categories ‘Group work skills’, ‘Reflection skills’, ‘Cooperation skills’, ‘Collaborative work skills’ represent the reverse mentoring characteristics ‘Commitment to the shared goal of support and mutual learning’. Categories ‘Creative work skills’ and ‘Critical thinking’ can be associated with the reverse mentoring characteristic ‘Mentee results’, which can be mostly connected with self-authorship understanding. High-quality mentoring relationship ‘promotes mutual growth, learning and development within the career context’ (Fletcher and Ragins, 2007, p. 374).

‘Commitment to the shared goal of support and mutual learning’, ‘Mentee outcomes’ and ‘Mentor outcomes’ are the reverse mentoring characteristics that have clear expression in research categories.

Self-authorship process of participants reveals all four critical dimensions of mutuality (Ragins and Verbios, 2007; Roberts, 2007); mutual benefit, influence, expectations, and understanding. Mentees – beginning teachers experienced self-authorship and reverse mentoring as a result of relationship, influence each other through learning, agree on roles and boundaries in the relationship, were aware of their impact on each other, and understand another’s intentions.

These insights support the idea that reverse mentoring in education is a two-way flow of learning which may be characterized as a boomerang effect which is highlighted by Freire (1987) who argues that every teacher is always a student and every student always a teacher.

Not all reverse mentoring characteristics displayed in scientific literature were traced in the empirical research. The position ‘Different partner status’ was not revealed in the research because of its formal status. According to Marcinkus Murphy (2012), the mentor is an appointed younger specialist who is teaching his senior colleague technical innovations. In educational studies there is no such practice of appointing younger colleague to teach an experienced pedagogue. Still the mentee may become an informal mentor while presenting relevant ideas and insights. The characteristic ‘Professional and leadership skill development of mentors’ is also more common in business context.

The authors of this research paper hope to direct the attention of school managers to reverse mentoring so that a systemic practice with a tendency to judgement mentoring can be implemented to help minimize professional gaps between different generations.

Findings of this study have some important implications for teacher education. They confirm the importance of adequate and sufficiently intensive supervision by the faculty at the training institutes, as well as of support provided by mentors during practical experiences in schools. Both forms of support considerably contribute to graduates’ teaching commitment and this, in turn, can affect their intention to actually enter and remain in the teaching profession (Rots and Aelterman, 2008).

As research data demonstrate, in order to maintain student teachers’ confidence, self-efficacy and motivation, it is necessary that teacher educators (including lecturers, tutors and mentors) also provide effective support to student teachers in order to help them ‘navigate the inevitably emotionally charged process of becoming a teacher’ (Malderez et al., 2007, p. 242).
Findings of this study implicate that teacher education systems can contribute to the enhancement of graduates’ teaching commitment through faculty and mentor support, which is an important condition in the process of becoming a teacher (Rots and Aelterman, 2008).

Authors agree with Rots and Aelterman (2008), Hobson et al. (2006) that these findings lend further support to calls for the effective selection, preparation and formal recognition of mentors, and for consistent development opportunities for faculty in teacher training programs.

The limitation of this research is the sample construction; thus it is impossible to state that the disclosed expression of self-authorship process in reverse mentoring is characteristic for entire population of beginning teacher in Lithuania. A second limitation was that this study focused on changes in attitude rather than behavior.

In future investigations, it is important to evaluate the effect of reverse mentoring on beginning teacher career development. Other relevant questions could follow: ‘What is the effect of reverse mentoring on psychological support?’; ‘What is the effect of reverse mentoring on role modeling?’ Also, while supporting the attitudes of Haggard et al. (2011), Weinberg and Lankau (2011), Dobrow et al. (2012), it is important to apply a mutuality perspective and incorporate the mentor as well as mentee perspectives to the reverse mentoring research.

Conclusions

- Reverse mentoring is understood as an innovative way to encourage learning and facilitate cross-generational relationships and may be characterized by such qualities as different partner status, knowledge sharing with the mentee, professional and leadership skill development of mentors, commitment to the shared goal of support and mutual learning, mentee outcomes and mentor outcomes, which demonstrate the potential possibilities for personal development and self-authorship.

- The content analysis of research participants’ reflections has revealed the tendencies how reverse mentoring facilitates the self-authorship development of a beginning teacher:
  - in the reverse mentoring process, participants-beginning teachers with the mentor share the knowledge of communication skills, IT literacy and self-evaluation skills, express commitment to the shared goal of support and mutual learning of group work skills, reflection skills, as well as cooperation and collaborative work skills, mentee results in reverse mentoring were creative work skills, self-authorship development, critical thinking and abilities to confront beginning teachers own prejudice about mentor’s authority and challenge senior persons’ attitudes, learn to be honest and open in real learning environment, to develop a dialogue and became more self-reliant in their pedagogical solutions;
  - the research participants-beginning teachers have not expressed spontaneously mentor outcomes as own leadership development, organizational knowledge, expansion of mentors’ social capital in the organization;
  - reverse mentoring can facilitate the self-authorship process of a beginning teacher while developing as a professional creating context in which the elements of self-authorship, trusting the internal voice, building an internal foundation, and securing internal commitments, are being developed by reciprocal knowledge sharing, commitment to the shared goal of support and mutual learning, provides positive setting for self-reflection, learning partnership.
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klausimą: „kas aš esu“) ir e) tarpasmenine, kai asmuo save gerai suvokia ir žino: „kaip jis nori konstruoti (kurti) santykius su kitais“ ir geba tai atlikti (Baxter Magolda, 2010).

Tyrimo dalyvių refleksijos ir jų turinio analizė atskleidė šias tendencijas: reversinės mentorystės proceso dalyviai – ir mokytojai-mentorai, ir pradedantieji mokytojai-globotiniai patiria abipusio mokymosi naudą, jie ima dalytis žiniomis, tobulina bendravimo įgūdžius, kompiuterinį raštingumą ir savęs vertinimą, aiškiai įsipareigoja bendram tikslui, t.y. remti mokymąsi vienems iš kito, lavina grupinio darbo, refleksijos įgūdžius, taip pat bendradarbiavimo ir bendro darbo įgūdžius, pradedantieji mokytojai-globotiniai reversinės mentorystės procese gali tobulinti savikūros įgūdžius, plėtoti teisėtus požiūris, būti atvirkštiniais, būti atviri realiai mokymosi aplinkai, plėtoti dialogą ir labiau pasižiūrėti primant savarankiškais pedagoginius sprendimus. Tyrimo dalyviai – pradedantieji mokytojai - nepateikė spontaniškų teiginių apie dimensiją „mentoriaus lyderystės pėtra“, „organizacijos pažinimas“, „mentorų socialinio kapitalo organizacijoje pėtra“.
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